

Chapter 6**Selected Issues in Attorney-Client Confidentiality****John R. Leathers¹***Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC*
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania**Synopsis**

§ 6.01.	Introduction	198
§ 6.02.	Confidential Client Information	198
	[1] — Exceptions to the Duty of Confidentiality	199
	[2] — Attorney Work-Product.....	201
	[3] — Back to the Basics of Privilege	202
§ 6.03.	Department of Justice Attacks Upon Confidentiality	204
	[1] — The Holder Memorandum.....	205
	[2] — The Seaboard Report	206
	[3] — The Thompson Memorandum – Assessment of Cooperation Required	207
	[4] — The Sentencing Guidelines Reduce Culpability for Waivers in Some Cases.....	208
	[5] — Limitations on Prosecutorial Actions Are Imposed in the McCallum Memorandum	209
	[6] — The Sentencing Guidelines Retract Waiver as a Condition of Reduction	209
	[7] — Current Department of Justice Policy – Waiver for Favorable Treatment.....	210
	[a] — The McNulty Memorandum	210
§ 6.04.	Dangers of Waiver	215
§ 6.05.	Legislative Efforts to Reverse Current Policy	216
§ 6.06.	The Future of the Attack on Confidentiality	218
§ 6.07.	The Privilege for Law Firm Internal Counsel.....	218
	[1] — The Basic Rule – Privilege as in Other Corporate Settings	219
	[2] — The Exception – Communications Concerning Current Clients.....	220
	[a] — The Blank Rome Example.....	220
	[b] — The Travails of Rogers & Wells	221

¹ General Counsel, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. B.B.A. Univ. Texas at El Paso; J.D. Univ. New Mexico; LL.M. Columbia University.

[c] — Powell Trachtman Makes Three..... 223
 [d] — Even a Third Party Pierces Hale
 and Dorr’s Protection 225
 [e] — And Finally to Pillsbury Winthrop 227
 [3] — A Nuanced View 227
 [4] — Case Law Supports the Nuanced “Exception” 230
 [5] — A Suggested Course of Action.....231

§ 6.08. Conclusion on the Use of In-House Counsel 232

§ 6.01. Introduction.

The legal protection for confidential communications between a lawyer and a client (the “Privilege”), firmly rooted in American jurisprudence since the founding of the country, is and always has been an impediment to finding the truth. Such communications contain relevant information when others seek to investigate the topic on which advice was sought. Logic says that the content of those communications is especially likely to be accurate. Despite relevance and trustworthiness, such information has historically been protected in order to foster a truthful relationship between attorney and client so that valuable legal advice can be given. In the balancing of competing interests, the historic outcome has been in favor of the relationship over the finding of the truth by others. In this current era, that historic balance is increasingly questioned, and pressure is brought to bear as governmental authorities and adversaries attempt to pierce the protection. The confidentiality that exists between lawyer and client involves three separate (and sometimes overlapping) concepts: confidential client information, work-product and privileged communications. All are under attack, although the ability to protect each is slightly different.

§ 6.02. Confidential Client Information.

The broadest category of confidential information is “confidential client information.” It is usually thought that the general duty of confidentiality comes from the law of ethics rather than rules promulgated by courts (*i.e.*, work-product, possibly privilege, depending on the status of rules of evidence) or legislatively enacted (*i.e.*, privilege, now generally codified although with a common law heritage and sometimes found in rules of evidence).