

Chapter 5

Cite as 18 E. Min. L. Inst. ch. 5 (1998)

Spinoffs, Spindowns and Gradualized Unbundling: An Examination of the Past, Present and Future of Natural Gas Gathering in the Appalachian Producing Region

Joseph M. Brooker

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease Columbus, Ohio¹

Synopsis

§ 5.01.	Introduction.	142
§ 5.02.	The Natural Gas Act Section 1(b) Exemption for Gathering.	1/12
§ 5.03.	Federal Regulation of Gathering.	
ş 3.03.	[1] — The Colorado Interstate Case.	1/15
	[2] — Order 436: Open Access	
	[3] — Order 636: Complete Unbundling.	148
	[4] — Affiliate Gathering: To Regulate or Not	1 10
		150
	[5] — Rethinking Affiliate Gathering: <i>Conoco v. FERC.</i>	
§ 5.04.	What Is Gathering: The Primary and Modified	
	Primary Function Tests.	157
	[1] — The Primary Function Test	
	[2] — The Modified Primary Function Test	
§ 5.05.	Survey of Gathering by Interstate Pipelines	
	in the Appalachian Producing Region	162
	[1] — Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company	164
	[2] — CNG Transmission Corporation.	
	[3] — Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation	
	[4] — Equitrans, Inc	168
	[5] — National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation	168
§ 5.06.	Survey of Authority of Appalachian States	
	to Regulate Gathering	170
	[1] — Kentucky	171
	[2] — New York	172
	[3] — Ohio	
	[4] — Pennsylvania	
	[5] — West Virginia	
§ 5.07.	The Future of Gathering in the Appalachian States	178

 $^{^{1}}$ The author would like to thank W. Jonathan Airey for his constructive comments on this chapter and, more importantly, for the countless hours of tutoring, counseling and encouragement in the practice of law.

§ 5.01 Introduction.

Natural gas gathering is, perhaps, the single-most important issue facing the Appalachian natural gas producing industry today. With the mandate of the complete unbundling of the merchant function brought about by Order 636, natural gas producers in the Appalachian producing region are facing fully allocated costs for gathering as natural gas companies begin the gradualized unbundling of their gathering function. Some natural gas companies have concluded that the gathering function is no longer a business they wish to continue, and are in the process of spinning off their gathering facilities to non-affiliated entities. Other natural gas companies have concluded that it may be advantageous to retain natural gas gathering facilities by spinning them down to an unregulated affiliate that does not engage in jurisdictional transportation or sales for resale. Whether the decision is to unbundle, spinoff or spindown, the result is a fundamental shift from the past gathering of natural gas.

The purpose of this chapter is to provide an overview of the fundamental changes in natural gas gathering brought about by the unbundling of natural gas pipelines, focusing, from a historical perspective, on the past regulation of natural gas gathering under federal law, then moving to recent authorities interpreting federal jurisdiction over gathering, survey of the status of Appalachian interstate pipelines and review the authority of the Appalachian producing states to regulate gathering. The chapter concludes with a discussion of policy considerations for the future of natural gas gathering in the Appalachian producing states.

§ 5.02. The Natural Gas Act Section 1(b) Exemption for Gathering.

In 1935, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) completed an eightyear investigation of the interstate natural gas industry. In its report, the FTC concluded that natural gas pipelines were acting as unregulated monopolies, charging excessive and unreasonable rates.²

² The interstate natural gas market was essentially unregulated since the regulation of wholesale rates of gas moving in interstate commerce was beyond the constitutional powers of the States. Missouri v. Kansas Natural Gas Co., 265 U.S. 298 (1924); Public Utilities Comm'n v. Attleboro Steam & Electric Co., 273 U.S. 83 (1927); State Corp. Comm'n v. Wichita Gas Co., 290 U.S. 561 (1934).