

Chapter 6

Force Majeure Under Coal Supply Contracts

Stephen G. Allen
Stites & Harbison, PLLC
Lexington, Kentucky

Synopsis

§ 6.01.	Introduction	196
§ 6.02.	Origins of the Term <i>Force Majeure</i>	197
	[1] — Excuse of Performance in Early Common Law	197
	[2] — Code Napoléon	197
§ 6.03.	Relationship of <i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses to Common Law and UCC	198
	[1] — <i>Force Majeure</i> as a Contract Term	198
	[2] — Restatement (Second) of the Law of Contracts § 261	198
	[a] — Harshness of Early Common Law	200
	[b] — Physical Impossibility	200
	[c] — Recognition of Parties’ Contractual Assumptions	201
	[d] — Commercial Impracticability	202
	[e] — Lack of Forseeability	202
	[3] — Uniform Commercial Code § 2-615	203
	[4] — Nonperformance Under International Agreements	205
§ 6.04.	Elements of a <i>Force Majeure</i> Clause	205
	[1] — Unforseeability Not Required	206
	[2] — Definition of <i>Force Majeure</i>	208
	[a] — Traditional List of Events	208
	[b] — Effects of Disruptive Event Rather than Listed Event	208
	[c] — Economic Hardship	209
	[d] — <i>Ejusdem Generis</i>	209
	[3] — Notice	211
	[4] — Obligation to Correct Event of <i>Force Majeure</i>	211
	[5] — Effect on Remaining Contract Performance	211
	[6] — “Make-Up” Shipments	212
§ 6.05.	Regulatory, Environmental and Statutory <i>Force Majeure</i> Issues	212
	[1] — Regulatory Orders and Changes	213
	[2] — Environmental Regulations	213
	[3] — <i>Force Majeure</i> Statutes	213
§ 6.06.	Response to Invocation of <i>Force Majeure</i>	214
	[1] — Investigation and Course of Performance	214

[2] — *Kentucky Utilities Co. v. South East Coal Co.*..... 214
 [3] — *Central Illinois Pub. Serv. Co. v. Atlas Minerals, Inc.* 216

§ 6.07. Drafting Aids and Considerations for the Practitioner216
 [1] — Hinder vs. Prevent Performance 216
 [2] — Acts of Terrorism..... 217
 [3] — Notice/Obligation to Correct..... 217
 [4] — “Make-Up“ and Delayed Deliveries 217

§ 6.08. Appendix217
Sample Force Majeure Clauses.....217
 [1] — Typical Simple *Force Majeure* Clause 217
 [2] — Complex, Comprehensive *Force Majeure* Clause 218
 [3] — Southern Company Services *Force Majeure* Clause (2005) 223
 [4] — City of Lakeland Florida *Force Majeure* Clause..... 224
 [5] — Kentucky Utilities *Force Majeure* Clause (2002) 227
 [6] — Consumers Energy Company *Force Majeure* Clause (2002) 228
 [7] — South Carolina Public Service Authority *Force Majeure* Clause (1996) 230
 [8] — Union Pacific Unit Train Coal Common Carrier Circular 111 (For Powder River Basin)(2005)..... 233
 [9] — Master Coal Purchase and Sale Agreement *Force Majeure* (2005)..... 234
 [10] — NYMEX Central Appalachian Coal Futures Contract *Force Majeure* Clause (2005)..... 235
 [11] — DTE Coal Services, Inc. *Force Majeure* Clause (2005)..... 241

§ 6.01. Introduction.

During the performance of a coal supply contract, it is not uncommon for one or both parties to be unable to perform their obligations for brief periods of time because of unexpected mechanical breakdowns, accidents, floods or other natural disasters or unexpected events. These have commonly become known as events of “*force majeure*.”¹ While virtually every coal supply contract has such a clause, like snowflakes, none seem to be the same. Yet business people often treat these clauses as legal “boilerplate”

¹ *force majeure* \fōrs mā-zh ər\ n. [Fr. 1883]; 1. superior or irresistible force; 2. an event or effect that cannot be reasonably anticipated or controlled – *cf.* Act of God.

and lawyers often negotiate them with little or no coordination with their client. Frequently, there is no negotiation of the clause at all with large utility buyers. This chapter examines the limited appellate caselaw available on this issue, identifies how the clause is usually constructed in coal supply contracts, considers the impact of *force majeure* declarations, and finally offers some aids to drafting such clauses and some examples of recently used clauses in coal supply contracts.

§ 6.02. Origins of the Term *Force Majeure*.

[1] — Excuse of Performance in Early Common Law.

In early common law, unconditional promises made by the parties to a contract gave rise to absolute liability.² Gradually, the law began to recognize physical impossibility as an excuse for nonperformance.³ By the mid-nineteenth century, the analysis had begun to move away from objective impossibility to recognition of the parties' contractual assumptions.⁴ It was not until after the turn of the last century, however, that courts began to recognize the economics of nonperformance as the doctrine of "commercial impracticability."⁵

[2] — Code Napoléon.

In the face of an inhospitable common law, parties quickly recognized that excuses for nonperformance had to be written into the contract. The French term *force majeure* comes from the Code Napoléon⁶ and as a civil law concept, has no real meaning under common law. English contract law adopted the term to refer to those events upon which contracting parties agreed would excuse nonperformance. The Kings Bench in *Matsoukis v.*

² *Paradine v. Jane*, 82 Eng. Rep. 897 (1647).

³ *Hinde v. Whitehouse*, 103 Eng. Rep. 216 (1806).

⁴ *See Taylor v. Caldwell*, 32 L.J.Q.B 164 (1863).

⁵ *See Mineral Park Co. v. Howard*, 156 P. 458 (Cal. 1916).

⁶ Alphonse M. Squillante & Felice M. Congalton, "*force majeure*" 80 Com. L.J. 4, 5 (1975) ("[t]here is no ground for damages and interest, when by consequence of a superior force or of a fortuitous occurrence, the debtor has been prevented from [performing]. . .") (quoting § 1148 of the French Civil Code from which the concept of *force majeure* is derived.).